♠ AO 120 (Rev. 3/2004)

Mail Stop 8

TO: Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

filed in the U.S. District Court Western District of Michigan on the following Patents or Trademarks:					
	0ATE FILED 8/19/2011	U.S. DI	STRICT COURT ern District of Michigan - at Grand I	Ranids	
1:11-cv-880 PLAINTIFF	0/19/2011	vveste	DEFENDANT		
Cadence Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al			Paddock Laboratories, Inc.,	et al	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	· ·	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
1 6,028,222	2/22/00	SCR	SCR Pharmatop		
2 6,992,218 B2	1/31/06	Phai	Pharmatop SCR		
3					
4					
5					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:					
DATE INCLUDED BY					
	Amendment		Answer Cross Bill	Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
1					
2					
3			·		
4					
5					
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgment issued:					
DECISION/JUDGMENT					
Stipulation and Order of Dismissal (see attached document)					
		(BY) DEPUTY	CLERK	DATE	
TRACEY CORDES		Rv /s/P	aula J. Woods	11/1/2011	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

CADENCE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. and SCR PHARMATOP,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 1:11-cv-00880-JTN

v.

Hon. Janet T. Neff

PADDOCK LABORATORIES, INC.; PERRIGO COMPANY; PADDOCK LABORATORIES, LLC,

Defendants.

Gardner, Linn, Burkhart & Flory, LLP Attorneys for the Plaintiffs 2851 Charlevoix Drive, S.E. Suite 207 P.O. Box 888695 Grand Rapids, MI 49588-8695 Price Heneveld LLP Attorneys for the Defendants 695 Kenmoor, S.E. P.O. Box 2567 Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501

STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

WHEREAS plaintiffs Cadence Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and SCR Pharmatop ("Plaintiffs") and defendants Paddock Laboratories, Inc.; Perrigo Company; and Paddock Laboratories, LLC ("Defendants") are the parties in the above-captioned patent infringement case;

WHEREAS in July 2011, defendant Paddock Laboratories, Inc. sent Cadence

Pharmaceuticals, Inc. notice that it had filed an Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA")

with the Food and Drug Administration seeking permission to market a generic version of

Cadence's patented drug product, OFIRMEV® and that its ANDA included a Paragraph IV

certification against the OFIRMEV® patents;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed a complaint against Defendants (and other unrelated

defendants) for patent infringement in the United States District Court for the District of

Delaware;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs, subsequent to filing the Delaware action, filed the above-

captioned patent infringement action;

WHEREAS on September 30, 2011 Defendants filed an answer and counterclaims in the

Delaware action in which they agreed not to contest personal jurisdiction in Delaware with

respect to that action only;

WHEREAS the parties have agreed to litigate the merits of the dispute in the first-filed

action presently pending in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, Civil

Action No. 11-733;

WHEREFORE Plaintiffs by their attorneys Gardner, Linn, Burkhart & Flory, LLP and

Defendants by their attorneys Price Heneveld LLP hereby stipulate and agree that Plaintiffs'

Complaint against Defendants shall be dismissed without prejudice, costs, or attorneys' fees to

any party.

Dated: October 31, 2011

/s/Terence J. Linn

Terence J. Linn

Gardner, Linn, Burkhart & Flory, LLP 2851 Charlevoix Drive, S.E., Suite 207

P.O. Box 888695

Grand Rapids, MI 49588-8695

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

2

Dated: October 31, 2011

/s/Matthew J. Gipson
Matthew J. Gipson
Price Heneveld LLP
695 Kenmoor, S.E.
P.O. Box 2567
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501
616.949.9610
Attorneys for Defendants

ORDER

At a session of this Court held in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division, State of Michigan, on this 31st day of October, 2011.

PRESENT: HONORABLE Janet T. Neff

In accordance with the above Stipulation,

IT IS SO ORDERED, the Complaint is hereby dismissed without prejudice, costs, or attorneys' fees to any party.

> /s/ Janet T. Neff Hon. Janet T. Neff

United States District Judge

Deputy Clark
U.S. District Court

Western Dist. of Michigan

NOV 0 1 2011